Receipt Number

. *558*350

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

JEFFREY J. PRIOR,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

Case: 2:07-cv-12447
Assigned To: Ferkens, John
Referral Judge: Scheer, Donald A
Assign, Date: 6/7/2007 @ 9:29 P.M.
Description: CMP PRIOR V.
CAPTIAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, LP (TAM)

CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, L.P.,

Defendant,

LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN P. PARKER, P.C. BRIAN P. PARKER (P 48617)
Attorney for Plaintiff
30700 Telegraph Rd., Suite 1580
Bingham Farms, MI 48025
(248) 642-6268
(248) 642-8875 (FAX)
lemonlaw@ameritech.net
WWW.COLLECTIONSTOPPER.COM

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY

Plaintiff, **JEFFREY J. PRIOR** (Plaintiff) by and through counsel, brings this action against the above listed Defendant, **CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES**, **L.P.**, (Defendant) on the grounds and in the amounts set forth herein:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

The plaintiff brings this action for damages based upon the Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA), 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq, and seeks actual damages, punitive damages, costs and attorney's fees.

II. PARTIES

1.

The plaintiff is a natural person and consumer, a resident of Clinton Township, Macomb County, Michigan, and a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

2.

The defendant is a Foreign Limited Partnership with its registered agent located in Bingham Farms, Oakland County, Michigan.

3.

The defendant is engaged in the collection of debts from consumers using the mail and telephone. The defendants regularly attempt to collect consumer debts alleged to be due another and is a "debt collector" as provided in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4.

This court has subject matter jurisdiction over this Complaint pursuant to FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. The venue is proper in any court of competent jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d). The court may also exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the related state law claims arising out of the same nucleus of operative facts that give rise to any federal law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

IV. STATUTORY STRUCTURE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT (FDCPA)

5.

The FDCPA was passed to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuse. 15 U.S.C. § 1692.

6.

Under the FDCPA, a "consumer" is any natural person obligated or allegedly obligated to pay any debt. 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).

7.

Under the FDCPA, "debt" means any obligation or alleged obligation of a consumer to pay money arising out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance, or services which are the subject of the transaction are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes. 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

8.

Under the FDCPA, a "debt collector" is any person who uses any instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails in any business the principal purpose for which is the collection of any debts, or who regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due to another. 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

A debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

10.

A debt collector may not violate the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692f by using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.

11.

Any debt collector who fails to comply with the provisions of the FDCPA is liable for any actual damages sustained, statutory damages up to \$1,000.00, attorneys' fees as determined by the Court and costs of this action. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.

12.

The Michigan Collection Practices Act (MCPA), MCLA 445.251 et seq. is an act to regulate the collection practices of certain persons; to provide for the powers and duties of certain state agencies; and to provide penalties and civil fines.

13.

A "Collection agency" means a person directly or indirectly engaged in soliciting a claim for collection or collecting or attempting to collect a claim owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another, or repossessing or attempting to repossess a thing of value owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another person, arising out of an expressed or implied agreement. MCLA 445.251 (1) (b).

14.

A "Consumer" or "debtor" means a natural person obligated or allegedly obligated to pay a debt. MCLA 445.251 (1)(d).

Prohibited acts by debt collectors or collection agencies under MCLA 445.252 include:

- (a) Communicating with a debtor in a misleading or deceptive manner, such as using the stationery of an attorney or credit bureau unless the regulated person is an attorney or is a credit bureau and it is disclosed that it is the collection department of the credit bureau.
- (b) Using forms or instruments which simulate the appearance of judicial process.
- (d) Using forms that may otherwise induce the belief that they have judicial or official sanction.
- (e) Making an inaccurate, misleading, untrue, or deceptive statement or claim in a communication to collect a debt.
- (f) Misrepresenting in a communication with a debtor 1 or more of the following:
 - (i) The legal status of a legal action being taken or threatened.
 - (ii) The legal rights of the creditor or debtor.
 - (iii) That the nonpayment of a debt will result in the debtor's arrest or imprisonment, or the seizure, garnishment, attachment, or sale of the debtor's property.

- (i) Communicating information relating to a debtor's indebtedness to an employer or an employer's agent unless the communication is specifically authorized in writing by the debtor subsequent to the forwarding of the claim for collection, the communication is in response to an inquiry initiated by the debtor's employer or the employer's agent, or the communication is for the purpose of acquiring location information about the debtor.

 (q) Failing to implement a procedure designed to prevent a violation by an employee.
- (s) Employing a person required to be licensed under article 9 of Act No. 299 of the Public Acts of 1980, being sections 339.901 to 339.916 of the Michigan Compiled Laws, to collect a claim unless that person is licensed under article 9 of Act No. 299 of the Public Acts of 1980.
- (n) Using a harassing, oppressive, or abusive method to collect a debt.

Under The Michigan Collection Practices Act, MCLA 445.257 ct seq.(1) A person who suffers injury, loss, or damage, or from whom money was collected by the use of a method, act, or practice in violation of this act may bring an action for damages or other equitable relief.(2) In an action brought pursuant to subsection (1), if the court finds for the petitioner, recovery shall be in the amount of actual damages or \$50.00, whichever is greater. If the court finds that the method, act, or practice was a wilful violation, the court may assess a civil fine of not less than 3 times the actual damages, or \$150.00, whichever is greater, and shall award reasonable attorney's fees and court costs incurred in connection with the action.

V. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

17.

Plaintiff has received numerous phone calls from Defendant representatives at work and at home in regards to the collection of the alleged debt.

18.

Defendant continues to call Plaintiff numerous times at work even after Plaintiff repeatedly asked them to stop. <u>Please see attached Exhibit #1.</u>

19.

In its conversations with Plaintiff, Defendant representatives have failed to identify themselves.

20.

In addition, Defendant has threatened to file a lawsuit in its conversations with Plaintiff, and no such lawsuit has been filed.

21.

As a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct, Plaintiff has suffered statutory, actual, emotional and financial damages and also seeks her attorney fees and costs under the FDCPA and MCPA.

VI. CAUSES OF ACTION

CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANT UNDER THE FDCPA

22.

The defendant has violated the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e (10) by the use of false representations and deceptive means in pursuing Plaintiff for a debt that was not validated.

Defendant has violated the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(6) by placing telephone calls without meaningful disclosure of the caller's identity.

24.

Defendant has violated the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692d by engaging in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.

25.

Defendant has violated the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(3) by contacting Plaintiff at work even after Plaintiff has requested them to stop calling him there.

26.

Defendant has violated the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5) by threatening to take any action that was not intended to be taken.

27.

Defendant has violated the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5) by causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in conversation repeatedly with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.

28.

Defendant has violated the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692f by using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.

As a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct, Plaintiff has suffered statutory, actual, emotional and financial damages and also seeks his attorney fees and costs under the FDCPA.

CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANT UNDER THE MCPA AND THE MICHIGAN OCCUPATIONAL CODE

30.

Defendant has violated MCLA 445.252 (a) with the use of false representations and deceptive means in its contact of Plaintiff.

31.

Defendant has violated MCLA 445.252(n) by using a harassing, oppressive or abusive method to collect on a debt.

32.

Defendant has violated MCLA 445.252(q) by failing to implement a procedure designed to prevent a violation by an employee.

33.

Defendant has violated MCLA 445.252(g) by communicating with a debtor without accurately disclosing the caller's identity.

34.

Defendant has violated MCLA 445.252f(i) by misrepresenting the legal status of a legal action being taken or threatened in its contacts with Plaintiff.

35.

Defendant has violated MCLA 445.252f(ii) by misrepresenting the legal rights of the debtor.

Defendant has violated MCLA 445.252(e) by making an inaccurate, misleading, untrue or deceptive statement or claim in a communication to collect a debt.

37.

Defendant's foregoing acts in attempting to collect this alleged dcbt against the Plaintiff constitute violations of the Occupational Code, M.C.L. § 339.915 including but not limited to the following:

- a. (n) Using a harassing, oppressive or abusive method to collect a debt.
- b. (f)(ii) By misrepresenting the legal rights of the debtor.
- c. (q) By failing to implement a procedure designed to prevent a violation by an employee.
- d. (g) By communicating with a debtor without accurately disclosing the caller's identity.
- e. (a) By communicating with a debtor in a misleading or deceptive manner.
- f. (e) By making an inaccurate, misleading, untrue or deceptive statement or claim in a communication to collect a debt.
- g. f(i)By misrepresenting in a communication with a debtor the legal status of a legal action being taken or threatened.

38.

As a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct, Plaintiff has suffered statutory, actual, emotional and financial damages and also seeks his attorney fees and costs under the MCPA and the Michigan Occupational Code.

Under MCLA 445.257 et seq., Plaintiff is eligible for equitable relief, triple damages and his attorney fees and costs. and further statutory damages under the act.

40.

As a result of the actions of Defendant, Plaintiff hired the undersigned counsel. Counsel has been an attorney in good standing for almost 13 years and has handled thousands of consumer cases, personally. Counsel is known in his field of a consumer advocate and a competent, experienced consumer trial attorney. As a result, counsel's time is billed at the reasonable rate of \$350.00 an hour.

VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that the Court grants the following relief against the Defendant:

- For compensatory damages;
- For statutory damages;
- 3. For punitive damages;
- For attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action;
- 5. For Triple Damages under the MCPA, and
- 5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a Trial by Jury on all issues.

This 6th day of June, 2007.

Respectfully/sybmit

BRIAN P. JARKER (P48617)

Attorney for Plaintiff



AFFIDAVIT OF JEFFREY J. PRIOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN

)

COUNTY OF MACOMB)

JEFFREY J. PRIOR being first duly swom, deposes and says that he is of suitable age and discretion to testify in a Court of law and that he makes this Affidavit based upon personal knowledge and, if called upon to testify, would testify as follows:

- CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, L.P. is calling and harassing me and will not stop calling me.
- Representatives of CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, L.P. continue to call
 me at work, even though I have repeatedly asked them to stop.
- Representatives of CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, L.P. have placed telephone calls without identifying themselves.
- Representatives of CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, L.P. have threatened to file a lawsuit against me and to date, no such lawsuit has been filed.

Further, Deponent saith not.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 5 day of Sune, 2007.

Notary Public

KAREN KWIATKOWSKI Notary Public, Macomb County, Michigan Acting In Macomb My

Commission Expires December 20, 2012

₽AGE 03

MMMCOTFECTIONSTDPPER

3486428875

94:40 4002/40/90

S 44 (Rev. 11/04) CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which this action arose Oakland The IS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) I. (2) PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS Jeffrey J. Prior Capital Management Services, L.P. Macomb Oakland (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIPF CASES) (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE Brian P. Parker (P48617) LAND INVOLVED. 30700 Telegraph Road, Suite 1580 (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, Attorneys (If Known) Bingham Farms, MI 48025 (248) 642-6268 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Select One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Select One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) U.S. Government and One Box for Defendant) Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party) FIF DEF Ö 7 4 **0** 4 Case: 2:07-cv-12447 Assigned To : Feikens, John O 2 U.S. Government Referral Judge: Scheer, Donald A Defendant 3 5 0 5 Assign. Date: 6/7/2007 @ 9:29 P.M. Description: CMP PRIOR V. (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) CAPTIAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, LP (TAM) J 6 O 6 NATURE OF SUIT (Select One Box Only) CONTRACT Bank Bank day water Bank Bank **建设是了**公司,只有为14年第 ROTH MESTATION AS O 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY O 610 Agriculture O 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 120 Marine 400 State Reapportionment 310 Airplane 362 Personal Injury -620 Other Food & Drug O 130 Miller Act ☐ 423 Withdrawal 410 Antitrum 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractice 625 Drug Related Science 140 Negotiable Instrument 28 USC 157 430 Banks and Banking Liability 365 Personal Injury . 150 Redovery of Overpayment of Property 21 USC 881 320 Assault, Libel & 450 Commerce Product Liability 630 Liquor Laws & Enforcement of Judgment **BATTER OF A CASE OF A CAS** 460 Deportation Slander 368 Asbestos Pertonal 640 R.R. & Truck 151 Medicare Act O 820 Copyrights 470 Racketeer Influenced and 330 Federal Employers Injury Product 630 Airline Rogs. 152 Recovery of Defaulted. O 830 Patent Corrupt Organizations Liability Liability 660 Occupational O 840 Trademark Student Loans 440 Cousumer Credit 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPERTY (Excl. Veterans) Safety/Health 490 Cable/Sat TV 345 Marine Product 370 Other Fraud O 153 Recovery of Overpaymen 690 Other 110 Selective Service Linkshity 371 Troth in Landing 高格式数 ペ (7) (を駅 of Veteran's Benefits क्षित्र के के एवं क्षा प्रकृति स्व 350 Motor Vehicle #50 Securities/Commodities/ 380 Other Personal 160 Stockholders' Suita 710 Fair Labor Standards O 461 HIA (1395ff) Exchange 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage 190 Other Contract 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 Act (923) Transport (923) Product Liability 385 Property Damage 720 Labor/Mgmt, Relations 195 Contract Product Liability O 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(a)) O 360 Other Personal Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI 890 Other Statutory Actions Injury O 865 RSI (405(g)) --& Disclosure Act 891 Agricultural Acta REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS Prisonen peterions O 740 Railway Labor Act \$92 Economic Stabilization BEDERAL PANSUITS 210 Land Condemnation 44) Voting Act 510 Motions to Vacare ń 790 Other Labor Littgetion ☐ 220 Forectosure O 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff 193 Environmental Matters 442 Employment Sentence 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment or Defendant) 894 Energy Allocation Act 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus: 240 Torus to Land Security Act O 871 IRS—Third Party 895 Freedom of Information Accompodations 530 General O 245 Torl Product Liability 26 USC 7609 444 Welfare Act 535 Death Populty 290 All Other Real Property 900 Appeal of Fee 445 Amer, w/Disabilities 540 Mandamos & Other Determination Under Employment 550 Civil Rights Access to Justice 446 Amer, w/Disabilities 555 Prison Condition 950 Constitutionality of Other 440 Other Civil Rights State Statutes (Select One Box Only) Appeal to District Original Removed from Transferred from O 4 Reinstated or Judge from Remanded from O 5 □ 6 Multidistrict State Court another district Magistrate Appellate Court Reopened (specify) Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): Judgment CAUSE OF ACTION /II. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in pemplaint: COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 JURY DEMAND OD_Ves /III. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET MUMBER SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

APPLYING OFP JUDGE MAG JUDGE

CECEIPT #

AMOUNT

Case 2:07-cv-12447- URSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 8	JF-DAS ECF No. 1	, PageID.14 File	ed 06/07/07 Pag	e 14 of 14
Is this a case that has been	n previously dismisse	d?	☐ Yes	
			<u></u>	
f yes, give the following information:		•		
Sourt:		- 		
Case No.:			•	
Judge:				e t
Other than stated above discontinued or dismisse court, including state co it appears substantially or related parties are present transaction or occurrence.	urt? (Companion cas similar evidence will esent and the cases	ses are mattered at the	er Yes n which No same ame	
If yes, give the following informatio				
		·		
Court:				
Case No				
Judge:				
Notes				
				•
			A .	
		•		
	en e			
<u>and and an artist of the second seco</u>			<i>:</i> .	